

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI 32.2

2021

All'Insegna del Giglio

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI



CNR – DIPARTIMENTO SCIENZE UMANE E SOCIALI, PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

ISTITUTO DI SCIENZE DEL PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

Rivista annuale open access e peer reviewed fondata da Mauro Cristofani e Riccardo Francovich

Comitato Scientifico: Giovanni Azzena, John Boardman, Robin B. Boast, Francisco Burillo Mozota, Alessandra Caravale, Christopher Carr, Martin O.H. Carver, Francesco D'Andria, François Djindjian, James E. Doran, Virginie Fromageot-Laniepce, Salvatore Garraffo, Filippo Giudice, Antonio Gottarelli, Maria Pia Guermandi, Anne-Marie Guimier-Sorbets, Ian Hodder, F. Roy Hodson, Donna C. Kurtz, Adriano Maggiani, Daniele Manacorda, Costanza Miliani, Paola Moscati, Tito Orlandi, Clive R. Orton, Maria Cecilia Parra, Xavier Rodier, Francesco Roncalli, Grazia Semeraro, Paolo Sommella, Gianluca Tagliamonte, Marco Valenti

Direttore responsabile: Paola Moscati

Redazione: Claudio Barchesi, Francesca Buscemi, Letizia Ceccarelli, Sara Di Marcello, Alessandra Piergrossi, Irene Rossi

Policy and Guidelines: http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/pages/guidelines.php

Autorizzazione del presidente del Tribunale di Firenze n. 3894 del 6/11/1989

Indirizzo Redazione: Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori», CNR – ISPC, Area della Ricerca di Roma 1, Via Salaria Km 29,300, 00015 Monterotondo Stazione (RM) Tel. +39.06.90672670 – Fax +39.06.90672818 E-mail: redazioneac.ispc@ispc.cnr.it http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/

Edizione e distribuzione: Edizioni ALL'INSEGNA DEL GIGLIO s.a.s., Via Arrigo Boito 50-52, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI) Tel. +39.055.6142675 E-mail: redazione@insegnadelgiglio.it – ordini@insegnadelgiglio.it https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

32.2

2021

All'Insegna del Giglio

Realizzazione grafica della sovracoperta di Marcello Bellisario Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori» (ISSN 1120-6861, e-ISSN 2385-1953) ISBN 978-88-9285-066-8, e-ISBN 978-88-9285-067-5 © 2021 – All'Insegna del Giglio s.a.s. – www.insegnadelgiglio.it Sesto Fiorentino (FI), dicembre 2021 Stampa, MDF print

Abbonamento 2021: 2 volumi, 32.1 e 32.2, € 60,00. Spedizione: Italia, gratuita; estero, a carico del destinatario. https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/categoria-prodotto/abbonamenti/

INDICE

From Pottery to Context. A	Archaeology ai	nd Virtual 1	Modelling,
edited by VINCENZO BALDO	ONI		

VINCENZO BALDONI, Introduction	9
The 'Alma Idea' Numana Project	
VINCENZO BALDONI, From finds to landscape: archaeological analysis and virtual modelling of the Davanzali necropolis in the Picenian emporion of Numana (AN)	17
ANDREA GAUCCI, Virtual Archaeology and the study of necropolises as a system: methodology and practice in the case study of Numana (AN), Italy	27
ENRICO ZAMPIERI, The Davanzali necropolis of Numana (AN): from the archaeological context to the virtual environment	l 35
MICHELE SILANI, Reconstructing the funerary landscape: natural environment and topography of the necropolis	45
SIMONE GARAGNANI, Quick digitization techniques: the case study of Numana necropolis	53
FROM POTTERY TO CONTEXT: METHODOLOGIES, PRACTICES, CASE STUDIES	
ISABELLE ALGRAIN, DELPHINE TONGLET, Studying the shapes of Greek vases: historiography and new methodologies	65
ALESSANDRO PACE, DANIELE BURSICH, New perspectives on documenting Attic pottery	83
MARTA NATALUCCI, The rediscovery of colors at Kainua-Marzabotto	95
MARTA ESPOSITO, Antefixes from Museo Provinciale Campano in Capua. A proposal for a virtual reconstruction	105
Monica Salvadori, Emanuela Faresin, Luca Zamparo, Classical pottery in collection: the MemO Project and the recreation of a 'context'	121
EMANUELE TACCOLA, LISA ROSSELLI, NICCOLÒ ALBERTINI, MARTA MARTINO, <i>Etruscan hypogea in 3D: a proposal for an immersive and interactive visualization of Volterra's funerary contexts</i>	135
*	

* *

Archaeological Computing: Selected Papers from the 2020 IMEKO TC-4 MetroArchaeo International Conference, edited by Alessandra Caravale

Alessandra Caravale, Archaeological Computing and the MetroArchaeo International Conference Award: an introduction

ELISA COSTA, CARLO BELTRAME, 3D modelling from archive and legacy data: preliminary data processing on the Roman shipwreck Grado I	, 157
Angela Bosco, Laura Carpentiero, Andrea D'Andrea, Eleonora Minucci, Rosario Valentini, Developing an ABIM system: a new prospective for archaeological data management	167
Еманиеце Brienza, Lorenzo Fornaciari, Rome: NE slopes of the Palatine hill. Archaeology of architecture and ancient masonries deep analysis	177
ANDREA TAVELLA, MARIKA CIELA, PAOLO CHISTÈ, ANNALUISA PEDROTTI, Preliminary studies on the volumetric capacity of ceramic from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana (VR) through 3D graphics software	187
ENEJ GUČEK PUHAR, ALEŠ JAKLIČ, FRANC SOLINA, LIDIJA KORAT, MIRAN ERIČ, Anatomical-morphological analysis of a volumetric 3D model of an archaeological object	197
Andrea Aquino, Stefano Pagnotta, Marco Lezzerini, Elena Pecchioni, Vanni Moggi Cecchi, Stefano Columbu, From minerals to artefacts: the role and challenges of 3D modelling	209
PAOLO TRIOLO, LUCIANO MARRAS, GLORIA ADINOLFI, RODOLFO CARMAGNOLA, STEFANO LEGNAIOLI, SIMONA RANERI, VINCENZO PALLESCHI, Imaging as a first step for Cultural Heritage and archaeology analyses	219
SARA MAZZOCATO, CLAUDIA DAFFARA, GIACOMO MARCHIORO, ALESSANDRA MENEGAZZI, Optical microprofilometry optimized for surface analysis and 3D printing of archaeological objects	, 227
DARIO GIUFFRIDA, VIVIANA MOLLICA NARDO, ROSINA CELESTE PONTERIO, ORESTE ADINOLFI, MARIA AMALIA MASTELLONI, Testing a mobile laboratory at the Aeolian Museum of Lipari (Messina) for the 3D survey and the chemical characterization of archaeological materials: practice and further developments	237
Devi TAELMAN, DIMITRI VAN LIMBERGEN, FABRIZIO ANTONELLI, Architectural and sculptural decoration of Roman central Adriatic Italy: an archaeological and archaeometric approach to region-wide marble trade	249
SALVATORE PIRO, DANIELA ZAMUNER, STEFANIA QUILICI GIGLI, High resolution geophysical surveys to characterise Norba archaeological site (Norma, Central Italy)	261
GIOVANNI LEUCCI, LARA DE GIORGI, GIOVANNI FRAGALÀ, ANTONINO MAZZAGLIA, DANIELE MALFITANA, New data about the Cathedral of Catania by geophysical investigations	271

ARCHAEOLOGICAL COMPUTING: SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE 2020 IMEKO TC-4 METROARCHAEO INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

edited by Alessandra Caravale



Special issue published with the financial support of Athena S.r.l.

ANATOMICAL-MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF A VOLUMETRIC 3D MODEL OF AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL OBJECT

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional radiology and, after 1975, computed tomography have been used in archaeology since their inception as non-invasive imaging techniques (HUGES 2011) for dealing with delicate and valuable artefacts (e.g. mummies, Palaeolithic and ancient remains, papyrus scrolls, wood, metal tools, coins, jewellery, weapons, ceramics, wall paintings, etc.). It was not until the 21st century that microcomputed tomography (μ CT) was gradually established as the desired non-invasive technique and method in archaeology. Its use and development are focused on the technological adaptation of industrial μ CT recorders to archaeological (e.g. University of Bologna; 37th International Symposium on Archaeometry) and archaeometric treatment (BERDONDINI *et al.* 2011), as well as non-invasive archaeological analysis of small objects, which could be partially or permanently destroyed or damaged (MORIGI *et al.* 2010; ALBERTIN *et al.* 2019). To date, research attention has not been focused on the development of specific algorithms adapted to field or laboratory archaeological work.

In the field of 3D modelling, μ CT is still limited to the reconstruction of surface 3D models or the examination of the anatomical structure of an archaeological object (Du PLESSIS et al. 2015; RE et al. 2016). There are only rare examples of reconstructing volumetric 3D models from 2D µCT images. This approach could greatly complement archaeological documentation, volumetric treatment and provide high-quality information when planning the use of optimal conservation methods and techniques. There is still a restrained attitude towards the use of µCT in archaeology, although archaeologists acknowledge that the results of microtomographic research are remarkable. This is partly due to the equipment which is still expensive and inaccessible to archaeologists. Therefore, easier, and more affordable 3D modelling technologies are used in virtual archaeology. This was also confirmed by the First CAA-GR Conference in Crete (REILLY, BEALE 2015), which was aimed for researchers to exchange experiences on the use of new technological imaging methods in the preservation of cultural heritage based on the guidelines of The London Charter (DENARD 2016) and The Seville Principles (LOPEZ-MENCHERO, BENDICHO 2013). The conference marked the culmination of twenty years of development in the field of virtual archaeology. Currently, archaeologists should standardize the use of new information

technologies in the field of cultural heritage (LiDAR, photogrammetry, computer modelling, additive manufacturing, visualization, hypertext, etc.). Archaeological reports in the last five years, despite the official restraint of the profession, confirm the growing interest in the use of micro- and nano computer tomography in archaeological and conservation work.

As sayd by Jeremy J. O'Brien, professor of physics and electrical engineering (APPLBAUM, APPLBAUM 2005), it is true that the use of computed tomography in archaeology and in the preservation of archaeological cultural heritage after 1979 was more due to the curiosity and individual interests of the archaeological and Egyptological elite than planned and systematic research work. It is therefore not surprising that a clearly defined interest in rendering surface and volume 3D models from two-dimensional tomographic or microtomographic images has not been expressed in archaeology yet. Somewhat wider interest in the use of computed tomography in archaeology began after 2015.

After 2016, computer scientists and archaeologists began using μ CT to investigate the geometric and anatomical features of artefacts. CT and μ CT have also become important analytical and diagnostic tools for planning and selecting more appropriate and efficient procedures for the conservation and restoration of archaeological objects. Some French (Introspect Project), British (RTISAD project), American (EDUCE Project, etc.), Canadian, Israeli, Austrian and German university research centres, specialized laboratories of state museums and private companies already use computed tomography as an indispensable part of the regular procedures of conserving and restoring archaeological exhibits.

Only in recent years (ALBERTIN *et al.* 2019) has it become clear that industrial microcomputed tomography, as an advanced non-destructive imaging technique for researching the anatomical structures of various materials, can answer many unexplored questions, enrich archaeological documentation, and contribute to an optimal selection of quality conservation and restoration techniques. Outstanding projects exist, for example, in the X-ray tomography laboratory at the University of Bologna. The research is focused on the development of industrial CT and μ CT systems for the needs of archaeological laboratories and museums. Solutions that are adapted to archaeological fields and laboratory work are the beginnings of a qualitative change in the treatment of archaeological objects.

In archaeology, we find isolated examples of reconstructed 3D models from 2D CT or μ CT images. To date, no specific need has been expressed for the reconstruction of volumetric 3D models or for the addition of complete replicas of archaeological artefacts from 2D CT / μ CT images. Reconstruction of 3D models of archaeological artefacts has so far been limited in archaeology primarily to surface 3D modelling, using photogrammetry, laser recorders, and structured light recorders. Various computer vision algorithms have been used (e.g.: SIFT, ICP, SfM, SfS, SfL, algorithm segmentation, self-learning algorithms, fuzzy clustering algorithm, etc.). In the last few years, deep learning is gaining importance. This is also the reason why the use of information technology in archaeology has focused on virtual archaeology, additive production of copies of artefacts from surface 3D models, and the digitization of basic archaeological documentation.

Due to the indicated peculiarities of the production (photogrammetry and other technologies) of 3D models in archaeology, no special algorithms have been developed for the reconstruction of surface and volumetric 3D models from CT or μ CT images of archaeological objects. In the case of computed tomography, commercial algorithms are used for reconstruction and imaging, but they are mostly adapted to the needs of medical diagnostics or quality control of materials in industry. In the reconstruction of tomographic images in medicine, additive manufacturing, material analysis, and industrial control, the filtered feedback projection (FBP) algorithm has been standardized for some time. In recent years, some forgotten iterative reconstruction algorithms have reappeared in industrial tomography.

Their use has become more widespread with the increasing processing power of computers. Comparisons and research have shown some advantages of iterative reconstruction algorithms over the FBP algorithm (AIDR, ASIR and ASIRV, IRIS, SAFIRE, ADMIRE, MBIR, xSPECT, nMERA, etc.). Iterative reconstruction significantly improves image quality and 3D modelling with cyclic processing. New iterative algorithms are already embedded in the latest generations of CT readers (e.g. Siemens, Toshiba, GE Healthcare, Philips, Canon, etc.) and in most cases represent a trade secret.

2. Case study: the Palaeolithic wooden point from the Ljubljanica River

2.1 The object of the tomographic reconstruction

The object of tomographic reconstruction presented in in this article is a 40.000-year-old Palaeolithic hunting weapon (GASPARI *et al.* 2011; KAVUR 2012). The Palaeolithic wooden point (Fig. 1) was found in 2008 in the Ljubljanica Riverbed near Vrhnika in Slovenia. It is made of yew wood. This wooden point is so far one of only eight known wooden Palaeolithic artefacts found in Europe.

2.2 Problem

After the conservation procedure and the last volumetric measurements, the current dimensions of the point are as follows: length 15.01 cm (was 16 cm when found, using traditional measurement method), width 4.9 cm (5.1



Fig. 1 – The Palaeolithic wooden point from the Ljubljanica River: a) photography of the point from 2008 when it was discovered (Slobodan Olić, Arhos d.o.o); b) 3D model; c) a photography of the exposed point in the City Museum of Ljubljana 2020 (model and photography E. Guček Puhar).

cm), thickness 2.3 cm (2.5 cm). The shape of the point has also changed (there is a strong bend of the lower part and a less pronounced one at the top of the point). Several surface cracks are also visible.

A volumetric comparison of surface 3D models created with the opensource graphical software tool CloudCompare before and after conservation, highlighted unexpected changes. The point changed after conservation its volumetric dimension (Fig. 2). Its volume decreased by almost 18.9 %, length by 5.7 %, width by 3.7 % and thickness by 18.3 %. There was also a visible change in its shape. The lower planting part was strongly and visibly bent. Volumetric comparisons, however, also exposed the bending of the tip point. External changes were identified by volumetric comparison of surface 3D models. These models, which have become widely accepted in archaeology today and the general standard of the signatories of the London Charter and Seville Principles, did not answer the question of what and where the actual (real) causes of external deformations are and in what condition the internal structure of the point is.

2.3 Hypothesis

Since microtomographic images of the Palaeolithic wooden point were available after conservation and since previous surface 3D models (ERIČ *et al.*



Fig. 2 – Volumetric changes of the surface 3D model of the point after the conservation process (2013-2017).

2018; GUČEK PUHAR *et al.* 2018) did not provide a satisfactory answer to the question regarding the actual state of the artefact, we decided to reconstruct the volume 3D model. This should mainly highlight those anatomical features (cracks, fractures, etc.) of the point that directly or indirectly influenced its morphological, volume and surface changes during the melamine resin preservation phase (intensive soaking and drying).

By hypothesis, we estimated that the surface and volume 3D model of the point could provide archaeologists and conservators with more comprehensive



Fig. 3 – Workflow of the algorithm for the reconstruction of the volume 3D model from microtomographic 2D images.

information about its condition. The 3D anatomical-morphological structure of the point clearly shows the conditions and risks requiring solutions for a more permanent preservation and protection of the artefact.

2.4 Methodology

Surface 3D models do not provide us with complete information about the actual state of an artefact. Only a volume 3D model can provide this information. This was the fundamental reason why we approached the development of an iterative algorithm for the reconstruction of a 3D model from microtomographic 2D images. In the phase of computer processing of microtomographic 3D slices, we developed two algorithms (Fig. 3): a direct algorithm for the reconstruction of a 3D volume model and a segmentation algorithm for the reconstruction of a 3D volume model. Both algorithms were developed using the software package for numerical analysis MatLab. The surface and volume 3D models are rendered with the open-source MeshLab and CloudCompare software.



Fig. 4 – Determined volumetric and anatomic-morphological characteristics of the volume 3D model of the point.

2.5 Results

With the volume 3D model, we were able to indisputably identify, investigate and document the internal structure of the artefact. Deformation changes (cracks, fractures, decay) are distinctly evident and located (Figs. 4-5). The critical points of the anatomical structure of the artefact are visible and non-invasively located in the volume 3D model (Fig. 5). Two pronounced internal deformations were found: a longer crack (Fig. 5 C_o, A) and a more pronounced fracture (Fig. 5 C_o, C). A crack (Fig. 5 A) with a depth of 2 to 22 mm was found in the upper part of the point. A 9.1 cm long crack runs all the way to the middle of the point along the core band. This crack is not critical if the dynamics of tensile and strain stresses do not continue. It only affects the slight bending of the upper crack) the critical point of the transverse fracture of the object is indicated (Fig. 5 B). Due to internal damage at this point, there is a possibility that the tip of the point (4.2 mm) may break off in the event of careless handling or under the influence of external factors.

If the tendency of the crack to spread continues across the middle of the point along the core strip (Fig. 5 A, F, C_0) in the direction or transversely to the direction of the observed major fracture (note that this crack propagation



Fig. 5 – Micro locations of anatomical characteristics and deformations (fractures, cracks, openings) of the Palaeolithic wooden point.

tension is currently not detected) to the lower and planting part of the point – which is currently 18 mm away from said crack (Fig. 5 C, C_0 , F) – we could face the risk of breakage of the point. A pronounced fracture in the lower part of the point (Fig. 5) runs along the entire width. Its length is 3.3 cm and runs between the planting part and the middle of the point (Figs. 4, 5 C₀, C). This is a critical fracture of the artefact. Numerous cracks have been found on the lower wing parts of the point in the longitudinal direction of the anatomical structure. There is a risk of chipping.

Significant changes found on the surface 3D models in 2009 and 2015 or 2017 may have been the result of various invasive processes to which the point was exposed during the conservation processes (phase of stimulated intensive swelling and heat treatment - drying). The current state of internal dynamic changes indicates that the drying process has slowed down.

3. DISCUSSION

With the volume 3D model, we were able to accurately identify, investigate and document the internal structure of the artefact. Deformation changes (cracks, fractures, decay) are clearly visible and located. The identified critical points (a longer crack extending from the top to the middle of the point and a pronounced transverse fracture in the lower part stand out) have a decisive influence on the external change (deformation-bending) of the top and the lower part of the point. Numerous minor cracks, deviations or even natural changes in the internal texture are also found in the volume 3D model of the point. If data on the internal condition of the point (openings, fractures, deviations, decomposition) were available before conservation, the conservation process could be adapted to the condition of the point or it could be decided to protect it by avoiding its exposition to environmental changes in a special container with a watery environment (aquarium), for example. Undoubtedly, the process of intensive conservation (soaking and especially rapid drying) has influenced the external and internal changes of the point, which will need to be repaired over time to avoid possible disintegration or breakage of the artefact.

Complementing the computer volumetric method of deformation monitoring of 3D models of the considered artefact with both algorithms can provide archaeologists with quality data and information for a comprehensive analysis of the object before and after the conservation procedure. Furthermore, it can provide conservators with the necessary information to select the most appropriate methods, techniques and means to stabilize valuable archaeological objects.

4. CONCLUSION

The volume 3D model together with the surface 3D model provides substantially more information about the state of the original artefact. The model can be successfully for the selection of conservation techniques (VAN GRIEKEN, JANSSENS 2004; JUNGBLUT *et al.* 2013; PAYNE 2013; ERIČ *et al.* 2018), for analysis and evaluation, in the visualization of the spatial representation of the artefact, in additive archaeology (REILLY, BEALE 2015) and in the timely planning of procedures for storage and protection of the artefact. The 3D models supplemented with this information and data will gain in importance in the coming years not only in the field of cultural heritage preservation but also in industry, medicine, etc., as 3D is becoming one of the fundamental standards of the 4th Industrial Revolution (SCHWAB 2017). The importance of 3D models and computer spatial and surface 3D visualizations includes the London Charter, the Seville Principles, and ratified international treaties among the archaeological and cultural heritage protection standards.

A more frequent use of non-invasive computed tomography in archaeology would be appropriate, especially when dealing with sensitive remains and for the production of volume 3D models which should be included into documentary archaeological collections. Spatial and surface 3D rendering from 2D CT images not only expand our knowledge about the screened objects but they also enable further analysis, identification, expansion in the field of archaeometry, enabling better quality 3D rendering and addition.

For archaeologists, conservators, and restorers, computed tomography can provide timely and reliable additional information for the planning, selecting and implementation of more efficient ways to preserve cultural heritage remains. Artificial intelligence, deep learning, convolutional neural networks, and other challenges of computer vision open up the still insufficiently researched possibilities of implementing computed tomography in archaeology and in preserving valuable remnants of cultural heritage.

Enej Guček Puhar, Aleš Jaklič, Franc Solina

Computer Vision Laboratory Faculty of Computer and Information Science, University of Ljubljana enej.gucek.puhar@gmail.com, ales.jaklic@fri.uni-lj.si, franc.solina@fri.uni-lj.si

Lidija Korat

The Laboratory for Cements, Mortars and Ceramics Slovenian National Building and Civil Engineering Institute lidija.korat@zag.si

Miran Erič

Institute for the Protection of Cultural Heritage of Slovenia, Ljubljana miran.eric@guest.arnes.si

REFERENCES

- ALBERTIN F., BETTUZZI M., BRANCACCIO R., MORIGI M.P., CASALI F. 2019, X-Ray computed tomography in situ: An opportunity for museums and restoration laboratories, in Special Issue Materials and Methods in Cultural Heritage: The Role of Archaeometry in Museums, «MDPI, Heritage», 2, 3, 2028-2038.
- APPLBAUM N., APPLBAUM Y. 2005, The use of medical computed tomography (CT) imaging in the study of ceramic and clay archaeological artifacts from the ancient Near East, in M. UDA, G. DEMORTIER, I. NAKAI (eds.), X-rays for Archaeology, Dordrecht, Springer, 231-245.
- BERDONDINI A., BRANCACCIO R., D'ERRICO V., MICELI A. 2011, The use of industrial computed tomography in the study of archaeological finds, in I. TURBANTI-MEMMI (ed.), Proceedings of the 37th International Symposium on Archaeometry (Siena 2008), Berlin, Springer, 575-578.
- DENARD H. 2016, A new introduction to the London Charter, in A. BENTKOWSKA-KAFEL, H. DENARD (eds.), Paradata and Transparency in Virtual Heritage, London, Routledge, 57-72.
- Du Plessis A., Slabbert R., Swanepoel L., Cornelius I., Ikram S., Booysen G., Els J. 2015, *Three-dimensional model of an ancient Egyptian falcon mummy skeleton*, «Rapid Prototyping Journal», 21, 4, 368-372.
- ERIČ M., GUČEK PUHAR E., JAKLIČ A., SOLINA F. 2018, The necessity of changing the methodology of preserving waterlogged wooden objects, in «SKYLLIS. Zeitschrift für maritime und limnische Archäologie und Kulturgeschichte», 18, 2, 174-185.
- GASPARI A., ERIČ M., ODAR B. 2011, A Palaeolithic wooden point from Ljubljansko barje (Slovenia), in J. BENJAMIN, J. BONSALL, C. PICKARD, A. FISCHER (eds.), Submerged Prehistory, Oxford, Oxbow Books, 186-192.
- GUČEK PUHAR E., KAVKLER K., CRAMER A., CELEC K., KORAT L., JAKLIČ A., SOLINA F. 2018, Comparison and deformation analysis of five 3D models of the Paleolithic wooden point from the Ljubljanica River, in 2018 Metrology for Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (Cassino 2018), 449-454.

Anatomical-morphological analysis of a volumetric 3D model of an archaeological object

- HUGES S. 2011, CT scanning in archaeology, in L. SABA (ed.), Computed Tomography. Special Applications, Croatia, InTech, 57-70.
- JUNGBLUT D., KARL S., MARA H., KRÖMKER S., WITTUM G. 2013, Automated GPU-based surface morphology reconstruction of volume data for archaeology, in H.G. BOCK, W. JÄGER, M. WINCKLER (eds.), Scientific Computing and Cultural Heritage. Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences, 3, Berlin-Heidelberg, Springer, 41-49.
- KAVUR B. 2012, Palaeolithic wooden object from the Ljubljanica at Sinja Gorica and its siginificance for cultural history, in A. GASPARI, M. ERIČ (eds.), Potopljena preteklost. Arheologija vodnih okolij in raziskovanje podvodne kulturne dediščine v Sloveniji, Radovljica, Didakta, 239-242.
- LOPEZ-MENCHERO V.M., BENDICHO V. 2013, International guidelines for virtual archaeology: The Seville principles, in C. CORSI, B. SLAPŠAK, F. VERMEULEN (eds.), Good Practice in Archaeological Diagnostics, Berlin, Springer, 269-283.
- MORIGI M.P., CASALI F., BETTUZZI M. 2010, Application of X-ray computed tomography to cultural heritage diagnostics, «Applied Physics A», 100, 3, 653-661.
- PAYNE E.M. 2013, *Imaging techniques in conservation*, «Journal of Conservation and Museum Studies», 10, 2.
- Re A., GIUDICE A., NERVO M., BUSCAGLIA P., LUCIANI P., BORLA M., GRECO C. 2016, The importance of tomography studying wooden artefacts: A comparison with radiography in the case of a coffin lid from ancient Egypt, «International Journal of Conservation Science», 7, SI 2, 935-944.
- REILLY P., BEALE G. 2015, Additive Archaeology: The spirit of virtual archaeology reprinted in Archaeological Research in the Digital Age, in C. PAPADOPOULOS, E. PALIU, A. CHRYSANTHI, E. KOTOULA, A. SARRIS (eds.), Proceedings of the 1st Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology Conference, Greek chapter (Rethymno 2014), Rethymno, Institute for Mediterranean Studies, Foundation of Research and Technology (IMS-Forth), 120-128.
- SCHWAB K (ed.) 2017, The Fourth Industrial Revolution, New York, Currency.
- TIPNIS S., RAMACHANDRA A., HUDA W., HARDIE A., SCHOEPF U., COSTELLO P., FLOHR T., SEDLMAIR M. 2010, Iterative reconstruction in image space (IRIS) and lesion detection in abdominal CT, in E. SAMEI (ed.), Medical Imaging 2010: Physics of Medical Imaging (International Society for Optics and Photonics), 76222K.
- VAN GRIEKEN R., JANSSENS K. 2004, Cultural Heritage Conservation and Environmental Impact Assessment by Non-Destructive Testing and Micro-Analysis, London, CRC Press, Taylor&Francis Group.

ABSTRACT

The article emphasizes the importance of anatomical-morphological analysis of a volume 3D model reconstructed from microcomputer tomographic 2D images for archaeological documentation and treatment, non-invasive archaeological analysis, and a more optimal selection of conservation methods and techniques. The object of μ CT reconstruction is a 40,000-year-old Palaeolithic hunting weapon found in 2008 in the Ljubljanica River near Sinja Gorica (Vrhnika, lat.: *Nauportus*, Slovenia). This wooden point (yew; lat.: *Taxus baccata*) is so far just one of only eight known Palaeolithic wooden artifacts found in Europe. Between 2013 and 2017, the point was conserved using a traditional waterlogged wood processing technique with melamine resin. Using computer volumetric analysis of five surface 3D models, taken before, during and after the conservation, it was found out that volumetric changes and deviations of the point have occurred (bending, weight, volume, surface cracks and changes). Surface changes of the 3D models did not answer the question: what are the causes for the resulting changes after the conservation process? Only anatomical-morphological analysis of the internal structure of the point could answer this question. To this end, we developed an

E. Guček Puhar et al.

iterative segmentation algorithm adapted to archaeological analysis for the reconstruction of a volume 3D model from microtomographic 2D images. In this way, we successfully supplemented the data of the surface 3D model and confirmed volumetrically and graphically the current and critical state of the internal anatomical structure of the artifact (cracks, fractures, etc.). The case study confirmed the exceptional importance of the use of microcomputed tomography as a non-invasive technique in archaeological analysis and in the planning and selection of procedures for conservation, restoration and storage of sensitive archaeological heritage remains *in situ* or *ex situ*.

32.2 2021

€ 40,00 ISSN 1120-6861 e-ISSN 2385-1953 ISBN 978-88-9285-066-8 e-ISBN 978-88-9285-067-5



ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI